

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/868,871	06/05/2001	Jan Malik	153-5916/PCT	5113
25255 7.	590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	CORPORATION	YOON, TAE H		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 4000 MONROE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28205			1714	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
0.00		09/868,871	MALIK ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tae H. Yoon	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ntion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	sication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 January 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		;			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th		· · ·	· · ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/868,871

Art Unit: 1714

Note new examiner.

Claim 8 needs ending period (.). Separate dependent claims having a narrower limitation such as "preferably" and "more preferably" recited in claims 7 and 10 are suggested.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited narrow ranges such as "preferably 5 to 25% by weight" and "preferably 95 to 75% by weight" contain outside ranges of broader ranges such as "10 to 80% by weight" and "90 to 20% by weight", respectively.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3903218 in view of Keller et al (US 5,574,082), JP 62-158737 and Fukui et al (US 5,100,930).

DE teaches stabilizer compositions comprising a sterically hindered phenol, phosphorous-containing antioxidant and α -tocopherol in examples 2 and 4, and stabilization of polyethylene at page 2, lines 65-66. Masterbatch of claim 9 lacks any probative value absent particular amounts therein.

The instant invention further recites a higher amount of α -tocopherol and other species of sterically hindered phenol, phosphorous-containing antioxidant over DE. However, the use of such amount of α -tocopherol and other species of sterically hindered phenol, phosphorous-containing antioxidant is well known practice as taught by Keller et al (col. 4, lines 23-34, IRGANOX 1076 and 1035 of col. 5, lines 56 and 62 and Table I), JP (abstract) and Fukui et al. (col. 3, lines 62-63 and table 2). Said IRGANOX 1076 and 1035 are also taught in the instant specification.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize the instant amount of α -tocopherol and other species of sterically hindered phenol, orphosphorous-containing antioxidant in DE with teaching of Keller et al, JP and Fukui et al since modifying amounts of additive mixtures is a routine practice in the art and since the use of a higher amount of α -tocopherol with respect to the amount of a sterically hindered phenol is well known as taught by Keller et al, JP and Fukui et al and since the broad disclosure of DE includes the instant sterically hindered phenol and phosphorous-containing antioxidant absent showing otherwise.

Application/Control Number: 09/868,871 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon //
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/April 25, 2005